

## REMARKS

Minor amendments have been made to claim 1 to overcome the rejection under 35 USC § 112. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim 12 stands objected to for certain informalities, in that the last three subparagraphs should be double indented to clarify that they are describing what the on board server system is adapted to do. Applicants have modified only the indentation for these paragraphs, as suggested by the Examiner, and it is believed that this overcomes these informalities. Therefore, reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

Finally, with respect to the 35 USC § 103 rejections, Applicants will be filing a Notice of Appeal, and as such no arguments have been presented relative thereto.

If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 7, 2011

By:   
Mark D. Elchuk, Reg. No. 33,686

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

MDE/kc/chs

15968283.1